

# Seminar 6, Derivation of Discrete Mixture Model For Exponential Family

Evgenii Egorov, [egorov.evgenyy@ya.ru](mailto:egorov.evgenyy@ya.ru)

September 21, 2020

The goal of the note to establish the connection between the MLE estimator for a non-mixture model and MLE estimator for each component of the discrete mixture model. Model for  $K$  components discrete mixture:

$$\begin{aligned}X &= \{x_n\}_{n=1}^N, \\ \theta &= \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_K\}, \\ p(x_n | t_n = k; \theta) &= \exp(\langle \phi(x_n), \lambda_k \rangle - F(\lambda_k)), \\ F(\lambda_k) &= \log \int \exp(\langle \phi(x_n), \lambda_k \rangle) dx, \\ p(t_n = k) &= \pi_k, \forall n.\end{aligned}$$

Note, that  $t_n$  are local variables and  $\theta$  is global.

## 1 E-step

E-step is trivial as usual for discrete mixture model:

$$p(t_n = k | x_n; \theta^{\text{old}}) = \frac{\pi_k p(x_n | t_n = k)}{\sum_{k'} \pi_{k'} p(x_n | t_n = k')} = \frac{\pi_k \exp(\langle \phi(x_n), \lambda_k \rangle - F(\lambda_k))}{\sum_{k'} \pi_{k'} \exp(\langle \phi(x_n), \lambda_{k'} \rangle - F(\lambda_{k'}))}.$$

Note, that is more computational stable to estimate the  $\log p(t_n = k | x_n)$  matrix and use for denominator [sum-log-exp trick](#).

## 2 M-step

M-step is more interesting and has the wonderful connection with simple MLE.

Let me denote the result of the E-step:  $q(t_n = k|x_n; \theta^{\text{old}}) = q_{nk}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \log p(x_n, t_n | \theta) \rangle_{q(t_n|x_n)} = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \log p(x_n | t_n) + \log p(t_n) \rangle_{q(t_n|x_n)} = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K q_{nk} [\langle \phi(x_n), \lambda_k \rangle - F(\lambda_k) + \log \pi_k] = \sum_{k=1}^K \left\{ \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk} \phi(x_n), \lambda_k \right\rangle + \left( \sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk} \right) (\log \pi_k - F(\lambda_k)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Derivation for  $\pi_k$  is common for any discrete mixture model:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\pi_k} \left( \mathcal{F} + \lambda \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \right) \right) &= 0, \quad \left( \sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk} \right) \pi_k^{-1} - \lambda = 0, \quad \pi_k = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk}. \\ 1 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K q_{nk} = \frac{N}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda = N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get simple result:

$$\boxed{\pi_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N p(t_n = k|x_n; \theta^{\text{old}}).$$

Finally, we get to the interesting part:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\lambda_k} \mathcal{F} &= \nabla_{\lambda_k} \left\{ \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk} \phi(x_n), \lambda_k \right\rangle + \left( \sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk} \right) (\log \pi_k - F(\lambda_k)) \right\} = 0, \\ \sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk} \phi(x_n) - \left( \sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk} \right) \nabla F(\lambda_k) &= 0, \quad \boxed{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{q_{nk}}{\sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk}} \phi(x_n) = \nabla F(\lambda_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\nabla F(\lambda_k) = \nabla_{\lambda_k} \log \int \exp(\langle \phi(x), \lambda_k \rangle) dx = \langle \phi(x) \rangle_{p(x; \lambda_k)}.$$

Hence, we obtain matching expectations of statistics:

$$\boxed{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{q_{nk}}{\sum_{n=1}^N q_{nk}} \phi(x_n) = \langle \phi(x) \rangle_{p(x; \lambda_k)}}.$$

So, we can see that the EM algorithm works by just making soft-clustering and estimation of MLE inside each. For the 1 component mixture we recover the simple MLE estimation:

$$\boxed{\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \phi(x_n) = \langle \phi(x) \rangle_{p(x; \lambda)}}.$$